

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 02/19/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7719	
10/783,574			Marvin P. Loeb	Loeb.M-04		
22197	7590	06/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GENE SCC 3140 RED H	•	ENT LAW &	KASZTEJNA, M.	KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
SUITE 150	ILL AVE	NUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
COSTA MES	SA, CA	92626-3440	3739			

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	_	10/783,574	LOEB ET AL.					
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739					
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	idress				
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avaing after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified and if NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set or	FTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY F THIS COMMUNICATION. Itable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply id above, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute, a later than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel IONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to cor	nmunication(s) filed on 19 Fe	bruary 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	AL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	•	•				
<i>'</i> — ···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is, 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is,	re rejected.							
Application Papers		·.		·				
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawing	s objected to by the Examine of on 19 February 2004 is/are equest that any objection to the ong sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Examine	: a)⊠ accepted or b)[drawing(s) be held in abe on is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application	s made of a claim for foreign * c) None of: pies of the priority documents pies of the priority documents ne certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau etailed Office action for a list	have been received. have been received in ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 802)	A) Intondo	w Summary (PTO-413)					
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/783,574

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-5, 9-10, 12-13, 15 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticpated by U.S. Patent No. 6,592,581 to Bowe.

In regards to claims 1 and 29, Bowe discloses an apparatus comprising: a means 32 for affecting tissue, the tissue affecting means disposed within a first enclosure 118 having a preferred shape; the first enclosure disposed within a second enclosure 100 and thereby constrained to the shape of the second enclosure, the second enclosure being more rigid than the first enclosure (see Col. 9, Lines 16-22); the first enclosure free to translate within the second enclosure, thereby extending from the second enclosure to assume the preferred shape so as to move proximate a tissue surface (see Col. 9, Line 61 – Col. 10, Line 5).

In regards to claims 2, 9 and 31, Bowe discloses an apparatus wherein the means for affecting tissue 32 is a source of energy (see Col. 12, Line 23).

In regards to claim 3, Bowe discloses an apparatus wherein the first enclosure 118 is a tube having a proximal end portion and a distal end portion (see Figs. 2 and 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/783,574

Art Unit: 3739

In regards to claim 4, Bowe discloses an apparatus wherein the second enclosure is a constraining sleeve 100 (see Figs. 2 and 3).

In regards to claims 5, 10, 13, 30 and 32-33, Bowe discloses an apparatus wherein the distal end portion 104 of the tube is made of a superelastic memory alloy treated to assume the preferred shape after being deformed therefrom (see Col. 9, Lines 40-60).

In regards to claim 12, Bowe discloses an apparatus wherein the preferred shape of the tube encompasses a bend having a bend angle of at least 10 degrees (see Fig. 2)

In regards to claim 15, Bowe discloses an apparatus wherein the tube is free to rotate within the sleeve (see Col. 12, Line 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8, and 16-23, 25-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,592,581 to Bowe in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,674,232 to Halliburton.

In regards to claims 6-8, 16-23, 25-26 and 28 Bowe discloses an apparatus with a means 32 for affecting tissue but is silent with respect to the tissue affecting means being a burr or rotating guillotine blade. Halliburton teaches of an analogous

Art Unit: 3739

tool having a housing 110, including an opening 120 and a primary shear or blade 130 which is connected to urging means such as cable or firing wire 104 for moving the primary shear 130 in the housing 110 across the opening 120 (see Col. 4, Lines 49-65). Furthermore, Halliburton teaches of numerous embodiments of the invention with regards to the construction of the housing, the primary shear and the opening (see Figs 1-12). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use a burr as the tissue affecting means in the apparatus of Bowe to effectively remove obstructions from body channels as taught by Halliburton. The apparatus of Bowe and Halliburton is considered inherently capable of the recited method claims.

Claims 11, 14, 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,592,581 to Bowe in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0064015 to Goto et al.

In regards to claims 11, 14, 24 and 28, Bowe discloses an apparatus with a means 32 for affecting tissue but is silent with respect to the the sleeve being the instrument channel of an endoscope and the tube having exterior markings for indicating extension of the tube from the sleeve. Goto et al. teach of an analogous endo-therapy accessory device used in combination with an endoscope wherein the accessory device has external marking on its proximal and distal ends to indicate distance (see Figs. 1-3). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the rt at the time the invention was made to provide external markings on the distal and proximal ends of the tube in the apparatus of Bowe to allow the operator to recognize a projection length of the accessory when projected from a tip opening as taught by Goto et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,574

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent No. 4,926,858 to Gifford, III et al.
- U.S. Patent No. 5,578,018 to Rowland et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/6/05

BEVERLY M. FLANAGAN

Page 5